

Innovative Leadership of Headmasters: Theoretical and Model Perspectives in the Context of Malaysian Education

Mohd Mastifino Abdul Majid^{1*}, Fanny Kho Chee Yuet¹

¹ Faculty of Management and Economics, Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris, Tanjung Malim, Malaysia

* Corresponding Author: mstfn78@gmail.com

Received: 15 December 2024 | Accepted: 13 January 2025 | Published: 15 February 2025

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.55057/ijares.2025.7.1.11>

Abstract: *In addressing the need for school transformation in Malaysia, innovative leadership is instrumental in reshaping and redefining schools for 21st century education. This article systematically investigates theoretical approaches, leadership models, and practical strategies, which enable headmasters to promote innovation. Strategic Thinking: Framework such as Gliddon's Model of Innovative Leadership, the Malaysian Standard for Teachers and the Full-Range Leadership Model can provide insight about how to develop strong strategic thinking, creativity, collaboration and risk-taking ability. This study supports the Malaysian Education Development Plan (PPPM) 2013-2025 goal of emphasising the role of headmasters in building up professional learning communities, use of technology as well as collaborative problem solving in school culture. While there are many barriers to change within healthcare organizations, including path dependency, limited resources, and inertia, some strategies such as better leadership, mentorship, and collaboration with external groups can strengthen innovative practices.*

Keywords: Innovative leadership, Malaysian education, Headmasters' role

1. Introduction

In recent decades, Malaysia's educational system has been accelerating towards transformation, in response to international demands for quality education and 21st-century skills. To align the education system with global trends as well as the national aspirations for socioeconomic transformation, the Malaysian government has made education reforms a priority (Mohamad et al., 2023).

School leaders, especially headmasters, have a key role in this process. They directly impact teacher effectiveness, student achievement, and the school climate. Creativity, adaptability, and forward-thinking—qualities of innovative leadership—have increasingly emerged as a dominant predictor of teachers' academic excellence and professional development (Booker, 2021). The headmasters must adopt strategic thinking and collaborative approaches to navigate contemporary challenges such as technology integration, student-centred learning, and the development of professional learning communities (Ghimire, 2022).

Innovative leadership practices are essential elements in helping the nation to achieve the goals of quality education, as emphasized in the Malaysian Education Development Plan (PPPM) 2013-2025. The framework positions headmasters as spearheading transformational efforts that

shift their focus toward innovation and continuous improvement. By having a comprehensive understanding of both the theoretical conceptualization as well as practical dimensions of innovative leadership, it would facilitate headmasters to lead effectively in a changing educational landscape as well as contribute to the educational vision of Malaysia for 2023.

2. Research Objectives

The objectives of this conceptual study are:

- 1) To explore the theoretical foundations of innovative leadership in the context of Malaysian education.
- 2) To examine relevant models of leadership that inform innovative practices among headmasters.
- 3) To identify strategies and programs implemented by headmasters to foster innovation in schools.
- 4) To provide future suggestions for enhancing innovative leadership in Malaysian schools.

3. Significance of the Study

The importance of this study so relevant to several key stakeholders in the Malaysian educational system. It offers policymakers insights into the theoretical and model-driven approaches to leadership training that could be applied. For hands on practitioners, especially headmasters it provides a roadmap for the adoption and implementation of new approaches to leadership. Finally, add some value to theory and practice by taking place in the field, and contributing to the body of literature on educational leadership.

4. Methodological Framework

This is a conceptual paper based on a thematic literature review examining core theories, models, and practice of innovative leadership, through qualitative lens. Referencing the confirmed data up to October 2023, the methodology is a layered process (Haddoud et al., 2024). First, it identifies and discusses fundamental theories of leadership and innovation to construct a theoretical foundation. Such as looking at traits and principles that embody innovative leadership. Second, we are discussing existing models including Gliddon's Model of Innovative Leadership and Malaysian Standard for Teachers (2009), to illustrate their relevance and applicability to the educational context. Modelling these approaches offers structured frameworks for understanding how innovative leadership practice gets contextualized. Finally, the results are analysed in the context of Malaysian education to derive practical implications and suggestions. The methodological approach synthesis different perspectives taken from both global and local literature to present a robust conceptual foundation by which headmasters in Malaysia can engage in innovative leadership (Maniam et al., 2020).

5. Literature Review

This section will provide an in-depth discussion of the theoretical foundations, relevant models, and educational policies in Malaysia. It will explore key theories that underpin the field, highlight models that align with current practices, and analyse how national policies shape the educational landscape in Malaysia.

Theoretical Foundations

A variety of theoretical frameworks are foundational to the concept of innovative leadership, illustrating the characteristics, processes, and outcomes of effective leadership. The Trait Leadership Theory (Zaccaro, Kemp & Bader, 2004) highlights qualities rooted within such as creativity, flexibility and ability to envisage. Such qualities allow leaders to motivate their employees through complex challenges and breed a culture that promotes innovation.

Likewise, the Innovative Leadership Theory (Joseph & Cunniff, 2014) suggests the need for an infusion of innovative practices into the processes of the organization. This suggests that leaders play a crucial role in promoting a culture of experimentation, learning from failures, and continually improving, allowing the organization to be flexible and responsive to new demands.

Innovative leadership can further be understood with the Transformational Leadership Theory (Bass, 1985). It emphasizes how leaders can inspire and motivate those around them through the articulation of an inspiring vision, encouragement of intellectual stimulation, and fostering of individualized consideration. Transformational leadership promotes change by enabling people in the organization to think differently and embrace innovation.

Sharing leadership roles within a team is democratic, but also pragmatic, as we are very much aware of the Distributed Leadership Theory whereby Spillane (2006) encourages facilitating leadership within many hands. As such, this aligns with innovative leadership, which relies on the collaborative accumulation and use of various skills to manifest innovative practices.

Relevant Models

Innovative leadership model offers a structured framework that changes theory into implementable strategy towards the leadership success. One well-known example is Gliddon's Model of Innovative Leadership (2006), noting five key, complementary dimensions including strategic thinking, creativity, risk management, collaboration, and implementation. Strategic thinking helps leaders to see impending challenges, seize opportunities and ensure their actions are in tune with long-term business goals. Creativity encourages leaders to think outside the box, creating a culture where innovation thrives. Such risk management reassures that possible roadblocks are identified and mitigated, leading to measured decisions that help sustainable growth. Collaboration creates a space for teamwork that ensures silos are broken down and shared responsibility exists. At the same time, this implementation dimension is about transforming ideas into measurable results. Rising leaders need a synthetic model that draws on the analysis above, to manage increasingly complex organizational environments with a developing level of consciousness that drives their institutions into the future.

The Malaysian Standard for Teachers (2009) is a crucial addition to Gliddon's model as it highlights the significance of teacher professionalism in exemplary leadership. These benchmarks connect teacher development to leadership efficacy, ensuring educators have the requisite skills and dispositions to foster institutional success. This not only acknowledges that the content of leadership expands beyond a purely administrative role but also offers a nurturing framework for teachers to develop growth as professionals. The framework also ensures the support of innovative leadership with the establishment of standards that include reflective practices, ethical conduct, and the commitment to lifelong learning. A combination of these creates a culture where leaders and educators have joint responsibility for ensuring positive educational outcomes.

Darley and colleagues (1978) suggested the social-cognitive approach to leadership, while the Full-Range Leadership Model (Avolio & Bass, 1991) incorporates three styles of leadership: transformational, transactional, and laissez-faire and offers another interesting perspective on the task. Transformational leadership relies on inspiring followers by a compelling vision, stimulating intellectual development and providing individual support, creating a culture of innovation and creativity. Fostering Accountability and maintaining efficiency in the commitment to meet short-term goals. On the opposite end, the laissez-faire approach delegates responsibility and allows team members to do the due diligence on their own, fostering innovation in the right scenario. Necklace model & progression driven leaders and organizations, when you look at this dynamic model the range of styles a leader needs to adapt depending upon situational needs and scenarios, where do we set pace of innovation vs time to market and business operationally all this becomes possible with strategic perspective of balancing both.

Innovative leadership has at its base the collaborative practices utilized among educators in the Professional Learning Community (PLC) Model (DuFour et al., 2004). The PLCs cultivate a safe space for educators to collectively inquire, solve problems collectively, and have continuous professional conversations. This advantage of PLCs promotes continuous reflection and improvement by focusing on the outcomes of the students. Headmasters are essential to this community-building, ensuring that teachers feel supported, resourced, and trusted to experiment with new ways of teaching and learning. This collaborative process further helps improve both instructional strategies and shared accountability, which creates stronger and more able systems at the school level. When school culture integrates PLCs at every level of the institution, leaders can shift practices, accelerating positive institutional transformation.

Malaysian Educational Policies

Leading the pack is the Malaysian Education Development Plan (PPPM) 2013-2025 which serves as the spiritual bedrock to treachery and transformation of district and school-level practices within the Malaysian education system. The policy highlights the role of headmasters in facilitating change initiatives, establishing professional learning communities, and integrating technology to improve teaching and learning outcomes (Choi et al., 2024). The PPPM offers a road map for headmasters to lead swiftly in a continuously transforming educational environment by building alignment among leadership practices and national goals. Along with policies such as the National Education Blueprint and Digital Education Strategic Plan, they play a key in ensuring equitable and high-quality education for all through innovative leadership (National Educational Technology Plan, 2024)

6. Research Findings

In this section, we explore The Role of Principals in Fostering Innovation, highlighting their visionary leadership and collaborative efforts. We delve into Programs and Strategies for Innovation, showcasing impactful initiatives. We conclude with Future Suggestions, offering forward-thinking recommendations to enhance innovative leadership and drive meaningful change in schools.

Headmasters Accomplish Innovation

Headmasters are crucial in creating an environment that fosters and sustains innovation within schools. Their leadership provides the foundation for a culture in which creativity, experimentation, and growth are more highly valued than hierarchies, silos, and control. Among their most basic duties is to provide a clear and compelling vision for the school, with

emphasis on innovation to improve student outcomes (Mallillin, 2022). It serves as a set of guiding principles for the entire community of stakeholders who share common goals and priorities. As such, principals also build a sense of collaboration amongst the staff members encouraging teamwork and collective ownership of initiatives. They promote transparent communication and establish opportunities for conversations making it possible for teachers and staff to collaboratively troubleshoot problems and find out-of-the-box solutions. Furthermore, it is crucial to support ongoing professional development because the adoption of new methods and technologies requires educators to possess the necessary skills and knowledge (Liu & Kleinsasser, 2022).

Output Programs and Strategies for Innovation

Various models and programs have been successful in growing innovation in schools. Professional learning communities (PLCs), for example, are one such model. These communities offer a structured environment for educators to work together on a problem, discuss best practices, and trial innovative teaching techniques. Another important initiative is the incorporation of technology into teaching and learning processes. Schools can improve instructional delivery and student engagement through technology integration programs that are interactive and increase access to learning (Tran & Reyes, 2022).

Also advancing teacher autonomy is a key strategy for how to innovate. Allowing teachers to explore new pedagogical approaches results in creative solutions suited to their students' needs. Issuing licenses to do work better accomplished without hindrances to do so (Tan, 2020) only serves to promote professional autonomy, which itself is seen as a great morale-booster amongst teachers and invites a culture of informed risk-taking and growth.

Future Suggestions

To deepen the progression toward innovative leadership in schools, some more specific recommendations follow. So, leads to the first reason; By the introduction of specialized leadership development programs focused solely on innovation, headmasters can develop their skills required to be effective heads in a fast-paced, evolution-driven educational environment. These programs need to focus on developing strategic planning, change management, and a growth mindset for staff and students. Second, we can reasonably peer mentoring programs to support new headmasters with guidance from proven leaders. This mentorship helps them tackle challenges and gain the confidence to lead innovation. Finally, partnerships with outside organizations, such as businesses, universities and non-profits are essential to share resources and ideas for new practices. If you must work with experts, it can bring schools in contact with state-of-the-art technologies, research and infrastructure and green energy initiatives, providing historied teaching initiatives for students (Balan, 2023).

Headmasters are an essential factor in creating the culture of innovation in schools. With a clear vision, collaborative approaches, and targeted initiatives, they can foster impactful change and ensure that their schools remain vibrant spaces for learning and development. Moreover, the future-oriented initiatives can further amplify their leadership impact vis-a-vis how they prepare their schools with the challenges and opportunities amid the 21st century (Choi et al., 2024).

7. Discussion

This highlights innovative educational leadership at Malaysian schools is no longer a dream but a dire need to meet the educational agenda of the country. With the educational landscape

ever evolving, the need for school leaders to adapt, to innovate, and to inspire has never been more paramount. Introduction In this study, the guides of theory and models are reviewed that underlie effective leadership traits and practices. Focuses on visionary thinking, strategic planning and a commitment to improvement. Nevertheless, the effective application of innovative leadership strategies to the Malaysian schools is not without its challenges. The significance of the challenges arises from the reluctance of various stakeholders to adopt this change, the lack of necessary resources to support such initiatives, and the continued need for professional development to align with modern developments (Choi et al., 2024).

As headmasters and school leaders, we must navigate these challenges with the delicate balance between so-called traditional values and innovative practices (Guthrie & Marsh, 2023). Despite the challenge to hold the community together, traditional values are embedded in Malaysian culture and perform an essential function in the identity and sense of community of schools. On the other hand, those values need to be complemented with future-oriented strategies to get students ready to live in a fast-evolving world. As an example, creating a culture of collaboration is critical to breaking down silos within schools and promoting teamwork between staff. Headmasters can tap into the group intelligence of their teams and use dialogue and shared problem-solving as way of fostering innovation.

Another key element of creative leadership is promoting risk-taking and experimentation. An environment in which creativity thrives is a supportive one in which leaders encourage teachers to try new ways of teaching and learning. As for initiatives that were not successful, reflective practices serve as a basis for improving the next time round. This process promotes teacher professional growth and eventually leads to better dynamic and engaging experiences for students (Arrington et al., 2021).

Finally, innovative headmasters will be able to create an environment that encourages and supports teachers and learners in unleashing their full potential. It would create a vibrant world of learning to breed young citizens who can become the best of the best in challenging themselves in a world without boundaries (Ismail et al., 2023).

8. Conclusion

Educational leadership is notably a fundamental component of this objective in the complex and ever-changing milieu of the 21st century. This conceptual study highlights the importance of theoretical frameworks and model-driven strategies in creating innovative practices in Malaysian schools. Employing existing models (such as Gliddon's Model of Innovative Leadership) and concrete strategies (aligned with the national education policy guidelines, science and technical education development, and diversified pedagogy focus of the Pelan Pembangunan Pendidikan Malaysia (PPPM) 2013-2025) can empower headmasters to literally embody effective leadership capable of leading their institutions from where they currently are to where they want to be in terms of academic and organizational excellence (Choi et al., 2024). Innovative leadership is essential to turning schools into hotbeds of creativity, collaboration and critical thinking. Such headmasters can motivate their staff, keep their students at the edge, and support their transition into a new world replete with opportunities and challenges. In particular, the frameworks presented in this study, which are based on a review of the contemporary literature on effective leadership, can serve as a roadmap for headmasters attempting to understand the characteristics and approaches that drive success in their role (Sala, 2023).

Now that a theoretical framework has been proposed, we also encourage future studies to provide tests of these theoretical propositions. These studies can draw on evidence regarding the performance of innovative school leaders on outcomes that matter, including student learning attainment, teacher satisfaction, and whole-school improvement. Policy makers and educators need to understand these dynamics that will allow them to fine-tune leadership practices and ensure their alignment with the needs of Malaysian schools (Kasim, 2021).

Malaysian schools can lead a perfect educational race in a world with rapid changes and increasingly complexity (Zakaria et al., 2021) by empowering innovation in their leadership. A change of mindset in this way will not only enable headmasters to lead better, but also equip students with the skills and understanding they need to succeed moving forward. At the core, innovation becomes an ingrained part of school culture which is why an ambitious vision of a high performing, forward-thinking education can become a reality.

References

- Arrington, T. L., Moore, A. L., & Bagdy, L. M. (2021). K12 practitioners' perceptions of learning from failure, creativity, and systems thinking: A collective case study. *TechTrends*, 65(4), 548–559. Springer Science+Business Media. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11528-021-00596-7>
- Avolio, B. J., & Bass, B. M. (1991). Full-range leadership development: Manual for the multifactor leadership questionnaire. Mind Garden.
- Balan, A. (2023). Neoliberalism, privatisation and marketisation: The implications for legal education in England and Wales. *Cogent Education*, 10(2), Article 2284548. Taylor & Francis. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2023.2284548>
- Bass, B. M. (1985). Leadership and performance beyond expectations. Free Press.
- Choi, M., Martini, Azizan, & ASEAN Secretariat. (2024). Enhancing innovation and human development competitiveness in Malaysia and Thailand through TVET: A pathway to sustainable development. *ASEAN Secretariat Report*.
- DuFour, R., DuFour, R., Eaker, R., & Many, T. (2004). Learning by doing: A handbook for professional learning communities at work. Solution Tree Press.
- Ghimire, S. K. (2022). Head teachers' transformational leadership styles in community schools of Lalitpur district. *The Batuk*, 8(1), 48–63. <https://doi.org/10.3126/batuk.v8i1.43506>
- Gliddon, D. G. (2006). Innovative leadership: A model for implementing innovative strategies in organizations. ProQuest Information and Learning Company.
- Guthrie, J. W., & Marsh, D. D. (2023). Strategies for elevating the public and professional regard of the Ed.D. *Peabody Journal of Education*. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/01619560802679773>
- Haddoud, M. Y., Nowiński, W., Laouiti, R., & Onjewu, A.-K. E. (2024). Entrepreneurial implementation intention: The role of psychological capital and entrepreneurship education. *The International Journal of Management Education*, 22(2), Article 100982. Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijme.2024.100982>
- Hassan, R., Ahmad, J., & Boon, Y. (2023). Instructional leadership in Malaysia. *International Journal of Engineering and Technology*, 7(1), 20–26. <https://www.sciencepubco.com/index.php/ijet/article/view/18346>
- Ismail, S. N., Muhammad, S., Omar, M. N., & Raman, A. (2023). The great challenge of Malaysian school leaders' instructional leadership: Can it affect teachers' functional competency across 21st century education? *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, 8(5), 1951–1964. <http://www.hrpub.org/download/20200530/UJER27-19515648.pdf>

- Joseph, J., & Cunniff, D. (2014). Innovative leadership theory: Strategies to inspire change. *Journal of Leadership Studies*, 8(2), 34–45.
- Kasim, N. M. (2021). The influence of entrepreneurial leadership and sustainability leadership on high-performing school leaders: Mediated by empowerment. *Leadership Education Personality: An Interdisciplinary Journal*, 3(2), 101–112. Springer Science+Business Media. <https://doi.org/10.1365/s42681-022-00031-2>
- Liu, M.-H., & Kleinsasser, R. C. (2022). Multiple perspectives with online EFL practicum technology learning: Case study on a cloud. *TechTrends*, 67(2), 342–353. Springer Science+Business Media. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11528-022-00813-x>
- Malaysian Ministry of Education. (2009). Malaysian Standard for Teachers: Professionalism benchmarks. Ministry of Education Malaysia.
- Mallillin, L. L. D. (2022). Adaptive theory approach in leadership: A guide to educational management system and mechanisms. *European Journal of Education Studies*, 9(7), 230–245. Open Access Publishing Group. <https://doi.org/10.46827/ejes.v9i7.4356>
- Maniam, I., Hassan, A., Abdullah, A., & Majid, A. (2020). Teachers' perception of transformational leadership based on primary schools in Peninsular Malaysia. *International Journal of Humanities Social Sciences and Education*, 7(8), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.20431/2349-0381.0708010>
- Mohamad, M., Palani, K., Nathan, L. S., Sandhakumarin, Y., Indira, R., & Jamila, E. (2023). Educational challenges in the 21st century: A literature review. *International Journal of Academic Research in Progressive Education and Development*, 12(2), 111–123. <https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarped/v12-i2/16865>
- National Educational Technology Plan. (2024). *U.S. Department of Education*. <https://tech.ed.gov/NETP/>
- Sala, F. (2023). Leadership in education: Effective U.K. college principals. *Nonprofit Management and Leadership*, 34(1), 44–58. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/nml.28>
- Spillane, J. P. (2006). Distributed leadership. Jossey-Bass.
- Tan, R. B. C., & Calingasan, S. (2020). Lifelong learning through life-giving forces in public elementary schools of Calamba City: An appreciative inquiry. *Zenodo*. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3994288>
- Tran, N., & Reyes, S. (2022). Evaluating educational and training investment: Strategic analysis of English language training in Vietnam and the Philippines. *Asian Journal of Education and Training*, 8(3), 65–79.
- Zaccaro, S. J., Kemp, C., & Bader, P. (2004). Leader traits and attributes. In J. Antonakis, A. T. Cianciolo, & R. J. Sternberg (Eds.), *The nature of leadership* (pp. 101–124). Sage Publications.
- Zakaria, I., Nor, M. Y. M., Alias, B. S., & Hamid, A. H. A. (2021). The influence of principals' strategic leadership on students' outcomes. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 11(2), 151–165. <https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarbss/v11-i2/8844>