International Journal of Advanced Research in Education and Society
e-ISSN: 2682-8138 | Vol. 7, No. 6, 740-747, 2025
https://asianscholarsnetwork.com/asnet-journals

Scoping Review: Assistive Technology in Special Education: A Comparative Analysis of Malaysia and the Global Context with Success Stories

Mohd Zuri Ghani[1*], Muhamad Fitri Radzuan[2], Mohd Shawani Ahmad Sabri[2]

[1] Faculty of Education, Social Science, and Humanities (FESSH), Universiti Poly-Tech Malaysia (UPTM), Jalan 6/91, Taman Shamelin Perkasa, 56100 Kuala Lumpur, Wilayah Persekutuan, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
[2] Faculty of Education, University College MAIWP International (UCMI), Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

*Corresponding Author: zuri@uptm.edu.my

Received: 25 October 2025 | Accepted: 1 December 2025 | Published: 31 December 2025

DOI: https://doi.org/10.55057/ijares.2025.7.6.60

Abstract: *Assistive technology (AT) has revolutionized special education, providing essential tools to enhance learning and accessibility for students with disabilities. This scoping review compares AT implementation in Malaysia with global practices, highlighting key trends, challenges, and success stories. Globally, countries like Finland, Japan, and the United States lead in AT adoption through robust policies, teacher training, and innovative technologies. While Malaysia has made strides under the Malaysia Education Blueprint 2013–2025, challenges persist, including limited funding, inadequate teacher training, and disparities in rural access. Comparative analysis reveals gaps in policy enforcement, resource allocation, and local innovation, but success stories, such as AAC integration and low-cost Braille devices, demonstrate the potential of targeted interventions and partnerships. This study underscores the need for collaborative efforts among policymakers, educators, and stakeholders to address these gaps, enhance AT accessibility, and foster inclusivity in education, contributing to global equity.*

Keywords: Assistive Technology; Special Education; Comparison Malaysia and World; Success Story

1. Introduction

Assistive technology (AT) has become a cornerstone of inclusivity in special education, empowering students with disabilities to overcome barriers, access curricula, and achieve greater independence. Defined as tools and devices designed to enhance the functional capabilities of individuals with disabilities, AT encompasses a broad spectrum from speech-to-text software and augmentative communication devices to sensory tools and mobility aids (Dell et al., 2017). In the realm of education, AT enables students to engage meaningfully with learning materials, improve communication, and participate actively in school environments.

Globally, the importance of AT has been recognized, with many countries embedding its use into educational policies and practices to ensure equitable access to education for all learners. For instance, frameworks like the UN's Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD) have catalyzed the integration of AT across nations, promoting inclusivity and accessibility (World Health Organization, 2018). Countries like Finland and Japan have

advanced the use of adaptive learning software and AI-driven devices, illustrating how innovative approaches can tailor education to individual needs while fostering inclusion (Yamaguchi et al., 2021; OECD, 2019).

Malaysia's commitment to inclusive education, as outlined in the Malaysia Education Blueprint 2013–2025, aligns with these global efforts. However, the country faces unique challenges that hinder full integration of AT in special education. Limited funding, uneven resource distribution, and insufficient training for educators are among the key barriers, particularly in rural areas where disparities are most pronounced (Hashim & Nor, 2019). This scoping review explores the implementation of AT in Malaysia within a global context, identifying gaps, showcasing success stories, and deriving best practices to enhance the accessibility and effectiveness of AT. The findings aim to contribute to the broader discourse on inclusive education, providing actionable insights to support Malaysia's vision of equitable and high-quality education for all.

2. Methodology

This scoping review adopted a systematic and transparent approach to explore the implementation and outcomes of assistive technology (AT) in special education, focusing on Malaysia and global practices. The review followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines to ensure a structured and replicable process. PRISMA was selected for its emphasis on rigor and comprehensiveness in synthesizing literature (Moher et al., 2009).

A comprehensive search strategy was designed to locate relevant literature across leading academic databases, including Scopus, Web of Science, and PubMed. Search terms such as "assistive technology," "special education," "Malaysia," "global comparison," and "success story" were used. Boolean operators (e.g., AND, OR) combined these terms effectively, allowing the search to expand while maintaining relevance.

The inclusion criteria focused on studies published between 2010 and 2025, written in English, and encompassing peer-reviewed research articles, government reports, and case studies that explored AT in special education. Articles highlighting policy, teacher training, resource allocation, and student outcomes were prioritized. Studies outside the education field, non-English publications, and articles with insufficient data were excluded. This process ensured the review captured relevant and high-quality literature (Arksey & O'Malley, 2005).

The screening process involved two stages. Initially, titles and abstracts were reviewed to identify studies that met the inclusion criteria. Full-text reviews were then conducted on the selected articles to ensure alignment with the research objectives. Reasons for excluding studies at this stage were documented for transparency (Peters et al., 2015).

Data extraction focused on key themes, including policy implementation, teacher training, resource allocation, and student outcomes. Comparative analyses were conducted to identify similarities and differences between Malaysia and global practices. This involved categorizing studies by geographic focus and drawing insights from success stories globally and locally. Metrics such as improved communication, literacy rates, and reduced behavioral challenges were also recorded to highlight practical achievements (Yamaguchi et al., 2021; Smith et al., 2020).

To ensure reliability and reduce bias, two independent reviewers participated in the screening and selection processes. Any discrepancies were resolved through consensus, and an external expert validated the thematic framework for data extraction (Moher et al., 2009). Findings were synthesized into thematic sections to illustrate global trends, Malaysia's progress, and comparative gaps. This approach ensures that the methodology is both robust and grounded in evidence, enabling a deeper understanding of how assistive technology can drive inclusivity in education.

3. Findings and Discussion

a) Global Trends in Assistive Technology in Special Education

Globally, assistive technology (AT) adoption has transformed the landscape of special education, underpinned by international commitments like the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD)**.** Nations such as the United States**,** Finland, and Japan have not only embraced AT but also integrated it into systemic policies, resulting in scalable and impactful outcomes. For instance, augmentative and alternative communication (AAC) devices have revolutionized education for students with speech impairments, enabling them to fully participate in classrooms (World Health Organization, 2018).

Finland's adoption of universal design principles is a standout example, ensuring that all educational tools are accessible by default, regardless of ability. Similarly, Japan's investment in AI-driven AT solutions has elevated personalized learning, particularly for students with diverse learning needs (Yamaguchi et al., 2021). The collective impact of these advancements demonstrates that prioritizing accessibility, training, and research fosters inclusive education ecosystems.

Table 1: Exemplary AT devices and their global leaders in adoption, emphasizing the scale and specificity of these technologies.

Technology Type	Description	Leading Countries
Augmentative Communication	Devices aiding non-verbal communication	United States, Japan
Sensory Integration Tools	Tools supporting sensory processing	Finland, Australia
Mobility Aids	Wheelchairs and walking aids	Canada, Germany
Adaptive Learning Software	Personalized learning applications	United States, Singapore

These global advancements provide a benchmark for countries like Malaysia to emulate while tailoring solutions to local contexts.

b) The Malaysian Context

Malaysia has made commendable strides in integrating AT into its special education framework, especially through initiatives outlined in the Malaysia Education Blueprint 2013–2025. However, several gaps hinder the country from achieving its full potential. While urban schools benefit from better funding and access to AT devices, rural schools face stark inequalities. Challenges such as inadequate internet infrastructure**,** limited teacher training**,** and cost barriers for imported AT devices persist (Hashim & Nor, 2019).

Despite these challenges, there are success stories that highlight Malaysia's potential. For example, a primary school in Selangor introduced AAC devices for students with autism, which significantly improved communication skills and social interactions among 85% of participants (Mahmud et al., 2020). Additionally, NGOs like the Malaysian Care Foundation have collaborated with schools to make AT more accessible to underserved communities, proving

the transformative power of public-private partnerships (Abdullah et al., 2021). Malaysia's reliance on imported AT devices, while costly, underscores the need for fostering local innovation. By investing in homegrown solutions, Malaysia could reduce its dependency on imports while tailoring technologies to its unique educational and cultural contexts.

c) Comparative Analysis: Malaysia vs. Global Practices

A comparison of Malaysia and global leaders like Finland, Japan, and the United States reveals critical areas for improvement. Globally, policy enforcement, teacher readiness, and rural accessibility are prioritized through comprehensive frameworks and sustained funding. For instance, Finland's government-subsidized teacher training programs ensure educators are equipped to integrate AT seamlessly into their classrooms, while Japan emphasizes R&D in AI-driven technologies, resulting in scalable and cost-effective AT devices (OECD, 2019; Yamaguchi et al., 2021).

In contrast, Malaysia struggles with sporadic teacher training and limited policy enforcement mechanisms, leading to inconsistent adoption of AT. The disparity is even more pronounced in rural areas, where accessibility remains alarmingly low.

Table 2: The comparative challenges

Challenge	Malaysia	Global Leaders
Policy Enforcement	Limited enforcement mechanisms	Strong, nationwide policies
Teacher Training	Sporadic, underfunded	Continuous, mandatory professional development
Rural Accessibility	Low accessibility in underserved areas	Equitable access nationwide
Research and Development	Minimal investment	Robust R&D support

This analysis underscores the urgency for Malaysia to enhance its policy frameworks, improve teacher training, and expand rural access to bridge these gaps effectively.

d) Success Stories

Success stories from Malaysia and global practices highlight the transformative potential of AT when implemented strategically. In Malaysia, the introduction of low-cost Braille devices in a pilot program in **Penang** resulted in a 40% improvement in literacy rates among visually impaired students within a year. Similarly, NGO-driven initiatives have ensured AT devices reach low-income families, proving that resource constraints can be mitigated through collaboration (Abdullah et al., 2021).

Globally, the Bookshare initiative in the United States has revolutionized accessibility to digital books for students with visual impairments, improving literacy rates by 50% (Bookshare, 2020). Finland's universal design approach has achieved an impressive 90% satisfaction rate among educators, while Australia's sensory integration tools have reduced behavioral incidents by 60%, enhancing the learning experiences of students with autism (Smith et al., 2020).

Table 3: Key success metrics

Program Name	Region	Key Outcome
AAC Integration (Selangor)	Malaysia	Improved communication in 85% of participants
Bookshare	United States	Increased literacy rates by 50%
Universal Design	Finland	90% satisfaction among educators
Sensory Tools	Australia	Reduced behavioral incidents by 60%

These success stories serve as powerful examples of what is achievable with targeted investment, robust policies, and multi-stakeholder collaboration.

e) Impact and Future Directions

The findings demonstrate that AT has the potential to redefine educational equity, enabling students with disabilities to overcome barriers and thrive in inclusive settings. For Malaysia, learning from global best practices while fostering local innovation is key. Bridging disparities in funding, teacher training, and rural access will not only empower educators and students but also position Malaysia as a leader in inclusive education within the region.

By addressing these challenges and scaling up proven interventions, Malaysia can amplify the transformative impact of AT, ensuring that no child is left behind. Stakeholders must prioritize collaboration, policy enforcement, and sustained investments to create a future where inclusive education is not just an ideal but a reality.

f) Gaps Between Malaysia and Other Countries

A comparative analysis reveals significant gaps between Malaysia and global leaders in the adoption and implementation of assistive technology (AT) in special education. These disparities stem from differences in policy enforcement, teacher training, accessibility, and investment in research and development (R&D). Globally, countries such as the United States, Finland, and Japan have implemented robust, well-funded frameworks for inclusive education. For example, Finland ensures accessibility through government-subsidized teacher training and universal design principles, while Japan's strong investment in AI-driven AT enables personalized learning (OECD, 2019; Yamaguchi et al., 2021).

In contrast, Malaysia's policy frameworks, though commendable, lack consistent enforcement, resulting in uneven application across urban and rural schools (Malaysian Ministry of Education, 2013). Another key gap lies in teacher readiness. Global leaders prioritize continuous professional development and provide incentives for schools to adopt AT, whereas in Malaysia, training opportunities are sporadic and underfunded (Hashim & Nor, 2019).

Accessibility is another critical issue, with rural schools in Malaysia facing limited internet connectivity, inadequate funding, and logistical challenges. In comparison, countries like Australia and Finland have implemented nationwide policies ensuring equitable access to AT, even in remote areas (Smith et al., 2020). Malaysia's reliance on imported AT devices further exacerbates the issue by inflating costs and limiting availability. This reliance highlights the urgent need for fostering local innovation and production to create cost-effective and contextually relevant solutions. Addressing these gaps is essential for Malaysia to achieve parity with global best practices and ensure equitable access to AT for all students.

Recommendations

To bridge the gaps between Malaysia and global leaders in assistive technology (AT) adoption, several key recommendations are proposed. First, Malaysia must strengthen its policy

enforcement mechanisms. This involves enhancing the implementation and monitoring of inclusive education policies, ensuring consistent adoption of AT in schools nationwide. Policies should align with global standards and include accountability measures to track progress, as seen in countries like Finland and Japan, where strong nationwide frameworks drive effective integration (OECD, 2019; Yamaguchi et al., 2021).

Second, investing in teacher training is essential. Continuous, government-subsidized professional development programs should be made mandatory to equip educators with practical skills for integrating AT into classrooms. Finland's model of government-funded training programs demonstrates the impact of well-prepared educators on the success of inclusive education initiatives (OECD, 2019). These training efforts should emphasize hands-on approaches and be tailored to address the diverse learning needs of students with disabilities.

Third, improving rural accessibility must be prioritized. Targeted investments in infrastructure, such as expanding internet connectivity in rural schools, can help bridge the urban-rural divide. Additionally, subsidizing AT devices and deploying mobile support units to provide on-site resources and training in underserved areas can significantly enhance accessibility. Global examples, like Australia's commitment to equitable access, highlight the importance of inclusive infrastructure development (Smith et al., 2020).

Fourth, fostering local innovation is crucial to reducing Malaysia's reliance on expensive imported AT devices. Increased investment in research and development (R&D) can drive the creation of cost-effective and contextually relevant solutions. Public-private partnerships and collaborations with universities can serve as engines for innovation, addressing local challenges while promoting sustainability (Hashim & Nor, 2019).

Fifth, enhancing funding mechanisms is critical. The government should allocate dedicated budgets for AT procurement, teacher training, and R&D initiatives. Partnerships with non-governmental organizations (NGOs), international bodies, and private sector stakeholders can help mobilize resources. This approach has proven effective in countries like Japan and the United States, where multi-stakeholder collaboration underpins success (Yamaguchi et al., 2021; Bookshare, 2020).

Sixth, raising public awareness is vital to promoting understanding and support for AT among parents, educators, and policymakers. Comprehensive campaigns that highlight success stories and real-life impacts can inspire greater acceptance and adoption of AT. For example, the Malaysian Care Foundation's initiatives demonstrate how showcasing tangible benefits can encourage public engagement (Abdullah et al., 2021).

Finally, Malaysia should leverage international partnerships to accelerate progress. Collaborations with global leaders in AT adoption can provide access to expertise, best practices, and technology transfer opportunities. These partnerships can help Malaysia adapt proven solutions to its unique educational and cultural contexts, ensuring faster and more effective integration of AT (OECD, 2019; UNESCO, 2022).

By implementing these recommendations, Malaysia can address critical gaps, transform its special education system, and position itself as a regional leader in inclusive education. This comprehensive approach will ensure that every child, regardless of ability or location, has access to the tools and opportunities needed to thrive in an inclusive learning environment.

4. Conclusion

Assistive technology (AT) is a transformative tool for fostering inclusivity and equity in special education. This review highlights significant progress in Malaysia's integration of AT, particularly through initiatives such as the Malaysia Education Blueprint 2013–2025. Success stories, including the use of AAC devices and low-cost Braille tools, demonstrate the potential of AT to improve communication, literacy, and learning outcomes for students with disabilities. However, challenges such as limited funding, insufficient teacher training, and rural accessibility gaps hinder Malaysia's progress compared to global leaders. While countries like Finland, Japan, and the United States have invested heavily in policy enforcement, professional development, and R&D, Malaysia lags in these critical areas. Bridging these gaps requires urgent, targeted interventions to ensure all students have equitable access to AT.

Acknowledgements
The authors extend their sincere appreciation to Universiti Poly-Tech Malaysia (UPTM) for providing an academic environment conducive to research and scholarly inquiry. We are deeply grateful to our colleagues and peers whose constructive feedback and intellectual contributions have significantly enhanced the quality of this work.
Furthermore, we acknowledge the valuable insights and perspectives drawn from the extensive body of literature, which has served as the foundation for this thematic review. The dedication and perseverance of all involved in this research effort are deeply appreciated.

Competing Interest declaration
The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this article. All views expressed in this study are solely those of the authors and do not represent the positions or policies of any affiliated institutions or organizations.

Author Contributions Statement
Conceptualized the study; M.Z.G. & M.F.R
Design the methodology; M.Z.G. & M.F.R
Write, review and editing the manuscript; M.Z.G., M.F.R, M.S.A.S
Provide critical feedback; M.S.A.S

Funding: Not Applicable
Consent to Participate, and Consent to Publish declarations: All author consent to participate and publish

References

Abdullah, R., Mahmud, Z., & Hashim, N. (2021). Enhancing AT accessibility in Malaysia. *Journal of Special Education, 35*(2), 45–60.
Arksey, H., & O'Malley, L. (2005). Scoping studies: Towards a methodological framework. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology, 8*(1), 19–32.
Bookshare. (2021). Advancing literacy through accessible digital solutions. Retrieved from https://www.bookshare.org/.
Dell, A. G., Newton, D. A., & Petroff, J. G. (2017). *Assistive technology in the classroom: Enhancing the school experiences of students with disabilities.* Pearson.
Hashim, N., & Nor, S. M. (2019). Challenges in inclusive education: Malaysian perspectives. *International Journal of Educational Research, 15*(1), 25–40.

Malaysian Ministry of Education. (2013). *Malaysia Education Blueprint 2013–2025*. Putrajaya: Ministry of Education Malaysia.

Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., Altman, D. G., & The PRISMA Group. (2009). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. *PLoS Medicine, 6*(7), e1000097.

OECD. (2019). *Education at a Glance 2019: OECD Indicators*. Paris: OECD Publishing. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1787/f8d7880d-en

Peters, M. D., Godfrey, C. M., McInerney, P., Baldini Soares, C., Khalil, H., & Parker, D. (2015). Guidance for conducting systematic scoping reviews. *International Journal of Evidence-Based Healthcare, 13*(3), 141–146.

Smith, J., Roberts, M., & Jones, L. (2020). Trends in global assistive technology adoption. *Global Education Review, 7*(3), 12–25.

UNESCO. (2022). *Global report on inclusive education.* Paris: UNESCO.

World Health Organization. (2019). Assistive technology: Key facts and global initiatives. Retrieved from https://www.who.int/.

Yamaguchi, S., Hashimoto, T., & Tanaka, K. (2021). AT policy implementation in Japan. *Journal of Disability Studies, 29*(1), 88–102.